UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTUS. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA District of NEBRASKA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	2005 JUN 30 PM 4: 57
	F DETENTION PENDING TRINK
THOMAS JOHNSON Case Number:	FDETENTION PENDING JURNAL 4:05 CR3077
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	
Part I—Findings of Fact	
 □ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). □ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. □ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is presented. 	o federal jurisdiction had existed that is
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or mo	ore prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	·
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
Alternative Findings (B)	
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
·	
D. A. W. W. G. A. G. C.	D. Annakan
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-	
derance of the evidence that	
Det. has amoly demonstrated he	cannot or will not
comply with combitions of release	Particularly with respect
the community	the satery of
1016-1211116-122247-1-	
Part III—Directions Regarding Deten The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated represent to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custod reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the Unin connection with a court proceeding.	ntative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, y pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a the United States or on request of an attorney for the
Date Signatu	re of Judicial Officer
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge	
Name and T	Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).